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# The Structure of the Organoboron Oxides 

By Corliss R. Kinney and Donald F. Pontz

In the preceding paper it was stated that the dehydration of the organoboric acids increased their molecular weights, indicating that the products of the reaction, the organoboron oxides, do not have the simple boronyl structure RBO arbitrarily assigned to them. Consequently an elucidation of their molecular structure is necessary in order to understand their behavior. The molecular weights of several organoboron oxides are recorded in the table. The oxides were prepared by heating the corresponding boric acid derivative for thirty-three hours in a drying oven held at $110^{\circ}$. The products were then analyzed for boron and their molecular weights determined cryoscopically in nitrobenzene.

| Derivative of boron oxide | Table I \% Boron |  | Mol. wt. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Calcd. | Found | Calcd. |  | nd |
| Phenyl | 10.42 | $10.25 \quad 10.29$ | 103.9 | 307 | 272 |
| $p$-Toly ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9.18 | 9.159 .11 | 117.9 | 312 | 249 |
| $m$-Chlorophenyl ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.82 | $7.65 \quad 7.59$ | 138.3 | 581 | 573 |
| $p$-Chlorophenyl ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.82 | 7.737 .69 | 138.3 | 406 | 462 |
| $p$-Bromophenyl | 5.92 | $5.79 \quad 5.68$ | 182.8 | 331 | 342 |
| $\alpha$-Naphthyl ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.03 | 7.387 .24 | 153.9 | 391 | 435 |
| ${ }^{a}$ The acids fro obtained from th of California thro |  | hese oxides Laborator urtesy of |  | niv | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vere } \\ & \text { sity } \end{aligned}$ |

## Conclusions

A consideration of the data shows that the organoboron oxides cannot have the simple formula RBO. The variations in the values for duplicate molecular weight determinations probably indicate that mixtures of double, triple and perhaps higher molecular weights are produced. Without doubt the structure of the oxides may be compared to that of boron oxide (boric anhydride) in which at least one oxygen bridge is present (OBOBO). Since boron oxide as ordinarily prepared is a glass it is likely that it has a molecular weight higher than boric oxide, and that a number of boron atoms are linked together through oxygen. Such a comparison leads to the suggestion of the formulas below rather than to merely associated molecules.



The trimolecular formula is similar to those accepted for the trimers of the aldehydes, thioaldehydes and thioketones.
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## The Polarity of the Nitrogen Tetroxide and Nitrogen Dioxide Molecules

By J. W. Williams, C. H. Schwingel and C. H. Winning

The structure of the molecules nitrogen tetroxide and nitrogen dioxide is incompletely understood at the present time, in spite of renewed activity in the investigation of the infra-red absorption spectra involved. ${ }^{1}$ Thus, in the case of nitrogen tetroxide the data of Sutherland were interpreted to be exactly in accord with the expectation for a molecule of the type $\left.{ }_{x}^{x}\right\rangle y-y<{ }_{x}^{x}$ in which all six atoms are co-planar, but Harris and King found some features of the spectrum

[^0]which seemed to be in favor of the existence of molecules where the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ groups do not lie in the same plane. The nitrogen dioxide molecule is usually assumed to be triangular rather than linear in shape, but the value of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ angle is still a matter of some dispute. ${ }^{2}$

There exists a somewhat similar situation in the interpretation of the vapor dielectric constant and density data to give the polarity of these two molecules. Zahn ${ }^{3}$ has understood his observations to require a higher electric moment for nitrogen tetroxide as compared to that for the nitro-

[^1]
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